

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/652,579	08/31/2000	Vishnu K. Agarwal	98-0616.13	4026
27076	7590 09/10/2004		EXAM	INER
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP			EVERHART, CARIDAD	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 3400 1420 FIFTH	1420 FIFTH AVENUE			
SEATTLE, WA 98101			DATE MAIL ED. 00/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/652,579	AGARWAL, VISHNU K.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Caridad M. Everhart	2825			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 03 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) 🗵 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: please see the attached page 2.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
5. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>80-84 and 88-110</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
B.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10.⊠ Other: <u>page 2, response to arguments</u>					
•					

Application/Control Number: 09/652,579

Art Unit: 2825

Response to Arguments

As indicated at the bottom of page 2 of the Final Rejection, because of the "comprising" language and because the claims are silent with respect to the order or spatial relationships of the films, the prior art of record continues to read on the claims as stated in the Final rejection. For example, the first film need not be under the second film, and the first film and the second film can be located anywhere on the wafer and still satisfy the claim requirement for there to be a first film and a second film. Therefore, although the prior art of record is overcome by applicant's amendment, the claims are not believed to be allowable. Further search would be necessary because of applicant's amendment. For example, Lu(US 6,017,818) is cited as it teaches treatment of a nitride which may be tungsten nitride with diborane(col. 1, lines 12-25; col. Col. 4, lines 33-40; col. Col. 6, lines 51-59; and claim 10). This then is used as an underlayer for the deposition of tungsten(col. 1, lines 12-25). Lee et al (US 6,624,069) is cited as an example of a teaching of the deposition of HSG followed by tungsten nitride(Abstract and col. 12, lines 38-45).

C. Everhart 9-6-04

CARIDAD EVERHART

Page 2